

1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 2057 2058 2059 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 2068 2069 2070 2071 2072 2073 2074 2075 2076 2077 2078 2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085 2086 2087 2088 2089 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126 2127 2128 2129 2130 2131 2132 2133 2134 2135 2136 2137 2138 2139 2140 2141 2142 2143 2144 2145 2146 2147 2148 2149 2150 2151 2152 2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164 2165 2166 2167 2168 2169 2170 2171 2172 2173 2174 2175 2176 2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182 2183 2184 2185 2186 2187 2188 2189 2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199 2200 2201 2202 2203 2204 2205 2206 2207 2208 2209 2210 2211 2212 2213 2214 2215 2216 2217 2218 2219 2220 2221 2222 2223 2224 2225 2226 2227 2228 2229 2230 2231 2232 2233 2234 2235 2236 2237 2238 2239 2240 2241 2242 2243 2244 2245 2246 2247 2248 2249 2250 2251 2252 2253 2254 2255 2256 2257 2258 2259 2260 2261 2262 2263 2264 2265 2266 2267 2268 2269 2270 2271 2272 2273 2274 2275 2276 2277 2278 2279 2280 2281 2282 2283 2284 2285 2286 2287 2288 2289 2290 2291 2292 2293 2294 2295 2296 2297 2298 2299 2300 2301 2302 2303 2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309 2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318 2319 2320 2321 2322 2323 2324 2325 2326 2327 2328 2329 2330 2331 2332 2333 2334 2335 2336 2337 2338 2339 2340 2341 2342 2343 2344 2345 2346 2347 2348 2349 2350 2351 2352 2353 2354 2355 2356 2357 2358 2359 2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368 2369 2370 2371 2372 2373 2374 2375 2376 2377 2378 2379 2380 2381 2382 2383 2384 2385 2386 2387 2388 2389 2390 2391 2392 2393 2394 2395 2396 2397 2398 2399 2400 2401 2402 2403 2404 2405 2406 2407 2408 2409 2410 2411 2412 2413 2414 2415 2416 2417 2418 2419 2420 2421 2422 2423 2424 2425 2426 2427 2428 2429 2430 2431 2432 2433 2434 2435 2436 2437 2438 2439 2440 2441 2442 2443 2444 2445 2446 2447 2448 2449 2450 2451 2452 2453 2454 2455 2456 2457 2458 2459 2460 2461 2462 2463 2464 2465 2466 2467 2468 2469 2470 2471 2472 2473 2474 2475 2476 2477 2478 2479 2480 2481 2482 2483 2484 2485 2486 2487 2488 2489 2490 2491 2492 2493 2494 2495 2496 2497 2498 2499 2500 2501 2502 2503 2504 2505 2506 2507 2508 2509 2510 2511 2512 2513 2514 2515 2516 2517 2518 2519 2520 2521 2522 2523 2524 2525 2526 2527 2528 2529 2530 2531 2532 2533 2534 2535 2536 2537 2538 2539 2540 2541 2542 2543 2544 2545 2546 2547 2548 2549 2550 2551 2552 2553 2554 2555 2556 2557 2558 2559 2560 2561 2562 2563 2564 2565 2566 2567 2568 2569 2570 2571 2572 2573 2574 2575 2576 2577 2578 2579 2580 2581 2582 2583 2584 2585 2586 2587 2588 2589 2590 2591 2592 2593 2594 2595 2596 2597 2598 2599 2600 2601 2602 2603 2604 2605 2606 2607 2608 2609 2610 2611 2612 2613 2614 2615 2616 2617 2618 2619 2620 2621 2622 2623 2624 2625 2626 2627 2628 2629 2630 2631 2632 2633 2634 2635 2636 2637 2638 2639 2640 2641 2642 2643 2644 2645 2646 2647 2648 2649 2650 2651 2652 2653 2654 2655 2656 2657 2658 2659 2660 2661 2662 2663 2664 2665 2666 2667 2668 2669 2670 2671 2672 2673 2674 2675 2676 2677 2678 2679 2680 2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693 2694 2695 2696 2697 2698 2699 2700 2701 2702 2703 2704 2705 2706 2707 2708 2709 2710 2711 2712 2713 2714 2715 2716 2717 2718 2719 2720 2721 2722 2723 2724 2725 2726 2727 2728 2729 2730 2731 2732 2733 2734 2735 2736 2737 2738 2739 2740 2741 2742 2743 2744 2745 2746 2747 2748 2749 2750 2751 2752 2753 2754 2755 2756 2757 2758 2759 2760 2761 2762 2763 2764 2765 2766 2767 2768 2769 2770 2771 2772 2773 2774 2775 2776 2777 2778 2779 2780 2781 2782 2783 2784 2785 2786 2787 2788 2789 2790 2791 2792 2793 2794 2795 2796 2797 2798 2799 2800 2801 2802 2803 2804 2805 2806 2807 2

**Title:** THERMAL SYNTHESIS APPARATUS AND PROCESS

**Inventors:** James R. Fincke  
Brent A. Detering

1 **THERMAL SYNTHESIS APPARATUS AND PROCESS**

2  
3 **CONTRACTUAL ORIGIN OF THE INVENTION**

4 This invention was made with United States Government support under Contract  
5 No. DE-AC07-99ID13727 awarded by the United States Department of Energy. The  
6 United States Government has certain rights in the invention.

7  
8 **RELATED APPLICATION**

9 This application claims priority from United States provisional application S/N  
10 60/181,488, filed on February 10, 2000, the disclosure of which is herein incorporated by  
11 reference. This application is also a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application  
12 Serial No. 09/320,784, filed May 27, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent  
13 Application Serial No. 09/076,922, now U.S. Patent No. 5,935,293, filed May 12, 1998,  
14 which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/404,395, now  
15 U.S. Patent No. 5,749,937, filed March 14, 1995, the disclosures of which are  
16 incorporated herein by reference.

17  
18 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

19 **Field of the Invention**

20 The present invention relates generally to a thermal synthesis process. In  
21 particular, the present invention relates to methods and apparatus for thermal conversion

1 of reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to desired  
2 end products, such as either a gas or ultrafine solid particles.

3  
4 **Relevant Technology**

5 Natural gas (where methane is the main hydrocarbon) is a low value and  
6 underutilized energy resource in the United State. Huge reserves of natural gas are  
7 known to exist in remote areas of the continental U.S., but this energy resource cannot be  
8 transported economically and safely from those regions. Conversion of natural gas to  
9 higher value hydrocarbons has been researched for decades with limited success in  
10 today's economy. Recently, there have been efforts to evaluate technologies for the  
11 conversion of natural gas (which is being flared) to acetylene as a feed stock for  
12 commodity chemicals. The ready availability of large natural gas reserves associated  
13 with oil fields and cheap labor might make the natural gas to acetylene route for  
14 producing commodity chemicals particularly attractive in this part of the world.

15 Acetylene can be used as a feed stock for plastic manufacture or for conversion by  
16 demonstrated catalyzed reactions to liquid hydrocarbon fuels. The versatility of acetylene  
17 as a starting raw material is well known and recognized. Current feed stocks for plastics  
18 are derived from petrochemical based raw materials. Supplies from domestic and foreign  
19 oil reserves to produce these petrochemical based raw materials are declining, which puts  
20 pressure on the search for alternatives to the petrochemical based feed stock. Therefore,  
21 the interest in acetylene based feed stock has currently been rejuvenated.

1 Thermal conversion of methane to liquid hydrocarbons involves indirect or direct  
2 processes. The conventional methanol-to-gasoline (MTG) and the Fischer-Tropsch (FT)  
3 processes are two prime examples of such indirect conversion processes which involve  
4 reforming methane to synthesis gas before converting to the final products. These costly  
5 endothermic processes are operated at high temperatures and high pressures.

6 The search for direct catalytic conversion of methane to light olefins (e.g., C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)  
7 and then to liquid hydrocarbons has become a recent focal point of natural gas conversion  
8 technology. Oxidative coupling, oxyhydrochlorination, and partial oxidation are  
9 examples of direct conversion methods. These technologies require operation under  
10 elevated pressures, moderate temperatures, and the use of catalysts. Development of  
11 special catalysts for direct natural gas conversion process is the biggest challenge for the  
12 advancement of these technologies. The conversion yields of such processes are low,  
13 implementing them is costly in comparison to indirect processes, and the technologies  
14 have not been proven.

15 Light olefins can be formed by very high temperature (>1800° C.) abstraction of  
16 hydrogen from methane, followed by coupling of hydrocarbon radicals. High  
17 temperature conversion of methane to acetylene by the reaction  $2\text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 + 3\text{H}_2$  is an  
18 example. Such processes have existed for a long time.

19 Methane to acetylene conversion processes currently use cold liquid hydrocarbon  
20 quenchants to prevent back reactions. Perhaps the best known of these is the Huels  
21 process which has been in commercial use in Germany for many years. The electric arc  
22 reactor of Huels transfers electrical energy by 'direct' contact between the

1 high-temperature arc (15000-20000 K) and the methane feed stock. The product gas is  
2 quenched with water and liquefied propane to prevent back reactions. Single pass yields  
3 of acetylene are less than 40% for the Huels process. Overall C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> yields are increased to  
4 58% by recycling all of the hydrocarbons except acetylene and ethylene.

5 Although in commercial use, the Huels process is only marginally economical  
6 because of the relatively low single pass efficiencies and the need to separate product  
7 gases from quench gases. Subsidies by the German Government have helped to keep this  
8 process in production.

9 A similar process with 9 MW reactors was built by DuPont and operated between  
10 1963 and 1968 supplying acetylene produced from liquid hydrocarbon sources to a  
11 neoprene plant. The process was also reportedly demonstrated at the pilot-plant scale  
12 using methane feed. The plant-scale operation was limited to liquefied petroleum gas or  
13 liquid hydrocarbon distillates. The size of the DuPont pilot scale process is not reported.  
14 In the DuPont process the arc was magnetically rotated while in the original Huels  
15 process the arc is "swirl stabilized" by tangential injection of gases. In the DuPont  
16 process, all feedstock, diluted with hydrogen, passed through the arc column. In the  
17 Huels process, a fraction of the reactants are injected downstream of the arc.

18 Westinghouse has employed a hydrogen plasma reactor for the cracking of natural  
19 gas to produce acetylene. In the plasma reactor, hydrogen is fed into the arc zone and  
20 heated to a plasma state. The exiting stream of hot H<sub>2</sub> plasma at temperatures above 5000  
21 K is mixed rapidly with the natural gas below the arc zone, and the electrical energy is  
22 indirectly transferred to the feed stock. The hot product gas is quenched with liquefied

propane and water, as in the Huels process, to prevent back reactions. However, as with the Huels process, separation of the product gas from quench gas is needed. Recycling all of the hydrocarbons except acetylene and ethylene has reportedly increased the overall yield to 67%. The H<sub>2</sub> plasma process for natural gas conversion has been extensively tested on a bench scale, but further development and demonstration on a pilot scale is required.

The Scientific and Industrial Research Foundation of Norway has developed a reactor consisting of concentric, resistance-heated graphite tubes. Reaction cracking of the methane occurs in the narrow annular space between the tubes where the temperature is 1900 to 2100 K. In operation, carbon formation in the annulus led to significant operational problems. Again, liquefied quenchant is used to quench the reaction products and prevent back reactions. As with the previous two acetylene production processes described above, separation of the product gas from quench gas is needed. The overall multiple-pass acetylene yield from the resistance-heated reactor is about 80% and the process has been tested to pilot plant levels.

Accordingly, it is desirable to improve upon the modest methane conversion efficiencies, acetylene yields, selectivities, and specific energy requirements observed in the above processes.

Titanium's properties of high corrosion resistance and strength, combined with its relatively low density, result in titanium alloys being ideally suited to many high technology applications, particularly in aerospace systems. Applications of titanium in chemical and power plants are also attractive.

1           Unfortunately, the widespread use of titanium has been severely limited by its  
2 high cost. The magnitude of this cost is a direct consequence of the batch nature of the  
3 conventional Kroll and Hunter processes for metal production, as well as the high energy  
4 consumption rates required by their usage.

5           The large scale production processes used in the titanium industry have been  
6 relatively unchanged for many years. They involve the following essential steps: (1)  
7 Chlorination of impure oxide ore, (2) purification of  $TiCl_4$  (3) reduction by sodium or  
8 magnesium to produce titanium sponge, (4) removal of sponge, and (5) leaching,  
9 distillation and vacuum remelting to remove Cl, Na, and Mg impurities. The combined  
10 effects of the inherent costs of such processes, the difficulty associated with forging and  
11 machining titanium and, in recent years, a shortfall in sponge availability, have  
12 contributed to relatively low titanium utilization.

13           One of the most promising techniques currently undergoing development to  
14 circumvent the high cost of titanium alloy parts is powder metallurgy for near net shape  
15 fabrication. For instance, it has been estimated that for every kilogram of titanium  
16 presently utilized in an aircraft, 8 kilograms of scrap are created. Powder metallurgy can  
17 substantially improve this ratio. Although this technology essentially involves the simple  
18 steps of powder production followed by compaction into a solid article, considerable  
19 development is currently underway to optimize the process such that the final product  
20 possesses at least equal properties and lower cost than wrought or cast material.

21           One potential powder metallurgy route to titanium alloy parts involves direct  
22 blending of elemental metal powders before compaction. Presently, titanium sponge

1 fines from the Kroll process are used, but a major drawback is their high residual  
2 impurity content (principally chlorides), which results in porosity in the final material.  
3 The other powder metallurgy alternative involves direct use of titanium alloy powder  
4 subjected to hot isostatic pressing.

5 Several programs are currently involved in the optimization of such titanium alloy  
6 powders. Results are highly promising, but all involve Kroll titanium as a starting  
7 material. Use of such existing powders involves a number of expensive purification and  
8 alloying steps as outlined above.

9 The formation of titanium under plasma conditions has received intermittent  
10 attention in the literature over the last 30 years. Reports have generally been concerned  
11 with the hydrogen reduction of titanium tetrachloride or titanium dioxide with some  
12 isolated references to sodium or magnesium reduction.

13 The use of hydrogen for reducing titanium tetrachloride has been studied in an arc  
14 furnace. Only partial reduction took place at 2100 K. The same reaction system has been  
15 more extensively studied in a plasma flame and patented for the production of titanium  
16 subchloride (German Patent 1,142,159, Jan. 10, 1963) and titanium metal (Japanese  
17 Patents 6854, May 23, 1963; 7408, Oct. 15, 1955; U.S. Pat. No. 3,123,464, Mar. 3, 1964).

18 Although early thermodynamic calculations indicated that the reduction of  
19 titanium tetrachloride to metallic titanium by hydrogen could start at 2500 K, the system  
20 is not a simple one. Calculations show that the formation of titanium subchloride would  
21 be thermodynamically more favorable in that temperature region.



U.S. Pat. No. 3,123,464, discloses that reduction of titanium tetrachloride to liquid titanium can be successfully carried out by heating the reactants ( $\text{TiCl}_4$  and  $\text{H}_2$ ) at least to, and preferably in excess of, the boiling point of titanium (3535 K). At such a high temperature, it was disclosed that while titanium tetrachloride vapor is effectively reduced by atomic hydrogen, the tendency of  $\text{H}_2$  to dissolve in or react with Ti is insignificant, the HCl formed is only about 10% dissociated, and the formation of titanium subchlorides could be much less favorable. The titanium vapor product is then either condensed to liquid in a water-cooled steel condenser at about 3000 K, from which it overflows into a mold, or is flash-cooled by hydrogen to powder, which is collected in a bin. Since the liquid titanium was condensed from gas with only gaseous by-products or impurities, its purity, except for hydrogen, was expected to be high.

Japanese Patent 7408, describes reaction conditions as follows: a mixture of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  gas and  $\text{H}_2$  (50% in excess) is led through a 5 mm inside diameter nozzle of a tungsten electrode at a rate of  $4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$  and an electric discharge (3720 V and 533 mA) made to another electrode at a distance of 15 mm. The resulting powdery crystals are heated in vacuo to produce 99.4% pure titanium.

In neither of the above patents is the energy consumption clearly mentioned. Attempts to develop the hydrogen reduction process on an industrial scale were made using a skull-melting furnace, but the effort was discontinued. More recently, a claim was made that a small quantity of titanium had been produced in a hydrogen plasma, but this was later retracted when the product was truly identified as titanium carbide.

1 In summary, the history of attempts to treat  $\text{TiCl}_4$  in hydrogen plasmas appears to  
2 indicate that only partial reduction, i.e., to a mixture of titanium and its subchlorides, is  
3 possible unless very high temperatures ( $>4000\text{ K}$ ) are reached. Prior researchers have  
4 concluded that extremely rapid, preferential condensation of vapor phase titanium would  
5 be required in order to overcome the unfavorable thermodynamics of the system.

6 Accordingly, there is a need for methods and apparatus that overcome or avoid the  
7 above problems and limitations.

#### 8 9 **SUMMARY AND OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION**

10 It is an object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for thermal  
11 conversion of one or more reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature  
12 gaseous stream to a desired end product.

13 It is a further object of the invention to provide an improved process conversion  
14 efficiency and product yield in the thermal conversion of one or more reactants in a  
15 thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to a desired end product.

16 It is yet another object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for  
17 increasing acetylene yield from the thermal conversion of natural gas.

18 To achieve the foregoing objects, and in accordance with the invention as  
19 embodied and broadly described herein, a method and apparatus are provided for thermal  
20 conversion of one or more reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature  
21 gaseous stream to a desired end product in the form of a gas or ultrafine solid particles.

22 In general, the method includes the following steps. First, a reactant stream is introduced

1 at one end of an axial reactor. Next, the reactant stream is heated as the reactant stream  
 2 flows axially through an injection line having a reduced diameter with respect to the axial  
 3 reactor to produce turbulent flow and thereby thoroughly mix the reactant stream with a  
 4 heating gas. Thereafter, the thoroughly mixed reactant stream is passed axially through a  
 5 reaction zone of the axial reactor, with the reaction zone maintained at a substantially  
 6 uniform temperature over the length of the reaction zone. The axial reactor has a length  
 7 and a temperature and is operated under conditions sufficient to effect heating of the  
 8 reactant stream to a selected reaction temperature at which a desired product stream is  
 9 produced at a location adjacent the outlet end of the axial reactor.

10 In particular, the method of the invention comprises the following steps. First, a  
 11 stream of plasma arc gas is introduced between the electrodes of a plasma torch including  
 12 at least one pair of electrodes positioned adjacent to an inlet end of an axial reactor  
 13 chamber. The stream of plasma arc gas is introduced at a selected plasma gas flow while  
 14 the electrodes are subjected to a selected plasma input power level to produce a plasma in  
 15 a restricted diameter injection line that extends into the reactor chamber and toward an  
 16 outlet end of the reactor chamber. Second, an incoming reactant stream is thoroughly  
 17 mixed into the plasma by injecting at least one reactant into the injection line to produce  
 18 the thorough mixing prior to introduction into the reactor chamber. The reactor chamber  
 19 is maintained at a substantially uniform temperature over the flow field for the reactions  
 20 to reach equilibrium. The gaseous stream exiting a nozzle is cooled at the outlet end of  
 21 the reactor chamber by reducing its velocity while removing heat energy at a rate

sufficient to prevent increases in its kinetic temperature. The desired end products are then separated from the gases remaining in the cooled gaseous stream.

The present invention provides improvements in process conversion efficiency and acetylene yield over prior conventional processes. Such improvements are primarily accomplished by more efficient injection and mixing of reactants with plasma gases, and minimization of temperature gradients and cold boundary layers in the reactor. The improved mixing and thermal control also leads to increased specificity reducing the yield of hydrocarbons other than acetylene. The quench rate achieved by wall heat transfer in small reactors is adequate to arrest acetylene decomposition and soot formation. Formation of other hydrocarbon species, except for ethylene, is unaffected by significantly increasing the quench rate.

These and other objects, features, and advantages of the present invention will become more fully apparent from the following description and appended claims, or may be learned by the practice of the invention as set forth hereinafter.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

In order to illustrate the manner in which the above-recited and other advantages and objects of the invention are obtained, a more particular description of the invention briefly described above will be rendered by reference to specific embodiments thereof which are illustrated in the appended drawings. Understanding that these drawings depict only typical embodiments of the invention and are not therefore to be considered to be

1 limiting of its scope, the invention will be described and explained with additional  
2 specificity and detail through the use of the accompanying drawings in which:

3 Figure 1 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a reactor system according to one  
4 embodiment of the invention;

5 Figure 2 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a reactor system according to  
6 another embodiment of the invention;

7 Figure 3 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a reactor system according to a  
8 further embodiment of the invention;

9 Figure 4 is a graph plotting the theoretical maximum amount of methane that can  
10 be processed in the reactor system of the invention;

11 Figure 5 is a graph plotting conversion efficiency as a function of methane feed  
12 rate;

13 Figure 6 is a graph plotting estimated reactor temperature and reactor residence  
14 time as a function of methane injection rate;

15 Figure 7 is a graph plotting methane conversion efficiency as a function of reactor  
16 pressure;

17 Figure 8 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of methane injection rate;

18 Figure 9 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of methane injection rate;

19  
20 Figure 10 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield as a function of methane injection  
21 rate;

Figure 11 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield, (less methane) as a function of methane injection rate;

Figure 12 is a graph plotting conversion efficiency as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 13 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 14 is a graph plotting yield efficiency as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 15 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield, (less methane) as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 16 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of pressure;

Figure 17 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield as a function of reactor pressure;

Figure 18 is a graph plotting acetylene produced and specific energy consumption as a function of methane feed rate.

# **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates generally to methods and apparatus for thermal conversion of reactants to desired end products, such as either a gas or ultrafine solid particles. Generally, an apparatus according to the present invention includes a multi-port injector that injects the reactants into a chamber upstream from a reactor and thereby allows the reactants to mix with a plasma stream. The reactor is insulated, such as with a carbon lining, which provides residence time for reactions to take place while minimizing radial temperature gradients. Optionally, a converging-diverging nozzle is attached at the



1 mixing of reactants into a plasma stream. The effect of quench rate is addressed by the  
2 incorporation of a supersonic quench nozzle into the apparatus, just downstream of the  
3 reactor section. In the supersonic nozzle, the hot gases in the reactor section are rapidly  
4 expanded to a lower pressure. Thus, thermal energy is converted to kinetic energy and  
5 the mixture is rapidly cooled. This process is sometimes referred to as an aerodynamic  
6 quench. Quench rates of  $107^{\circ}$  -  $108^{\circ}$  C/s or two to three orders of magnitude greater than  
7 those reported in the original Huels process are achievable. Without the converging-  
8 diverging nozzle, quench rates by wall heat transfer are estimated to be on the order of  
9  $0.1 \times 10^6$  °C/s.

10 Although the concepts of this reactor were originally developed in a study of the  
11 formation of acetylene from natural gas, it will be appreciated by one skilled in the art  
12 that the methods and apparatus of the invention can be used for other processes requiring  
13 rapid quenching, including the production of titanium.

14 The fast quench reactor and method of operation described herein take advantage  
15 of the high temperatures ( $5,000^{\circ}$  to  $20,000^{\circ}$  C.) available in a high temperature heating  
16 means such as a thermal plasma device to produce materials that are thermodynamically  
17 stable at these high temperatures. These materials include metals, alloys, intermetallics,  
18 composites, gases and ceramics.

19 The fast quench reactor and method of this invention shall be described and  
20 illustrated forthwith in terms of a rapid heating means comprising a plasma torch and a  
21 stream of plasma arc gas. However, it will be recognized that the rapid heating means can



also include other rapid heating means such as lasers, and flames produced by oxidation of a suitable fuel, e.g., an oxygen/hydrogen flame.

It will be appreciated that the various features described hereinbelow in the various embodiments can be interchanged to provide further embodiments that are encompassed by the present invention. For example, various embodiments may or not have a converging-diverging nozzle, mixing chamber, downstream injector, or anode injector.

Referring now to the drawings, Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of an ultra fast quenching apparatus 10. The apparatus 10 generally includes a torch section 12, an injector section 14, an enclosed axial reactor chamber 16, a converging-diverging nozzle 18, and a cooling section 20.

In one embodiment of the invention, the apparatus 10 is a fast quench axial reactor for thermal conversion of one or more reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to a desired end product in the form of a gas or ultrafine solid particles. The apparatus 10 includes means for introducing a reactant stream at or upstream from an inlet end of the axial reactor, such as a multi-port injector located in either injector section 14 or torch section 12 or an anode injector as described hereinbelow, or equivalents thereof. The apparatus 10 also includes a heating means for producing a hot gaseous stream upstream from the inlet end of the axial reactor, wherein the stream is flowing axially toward an outlet end of the axial reactor. Such heating means can be selected from a plasma generating torch, lasers, flames produced by oxidation of a suitable fuel, e.g., an oxygen/hydrogen flame, and equivalents thereof.

Further, apparatus 10 includes means for passing the reactant stream and the hot gaseous stream through an injection line having a reduced diameter to produce turbulent flow and thereby thoroughly mix the reactant stream with the hot gaseous stream, such as an injection line 23 in injector section 14 or in torch section 12, or equivalents thereof.

Apparatus 10 further includes means for minimizing radial temperature gradients within the axial reactor, such as an insulating layer on the interior of reactor chamber 16, and equivalents thereof. The axial reactor is preferably operated under conditions sufficient to effect heating of the reactant stream to a selected reaction temperature at which a desired end product is produced at a location adjacent the outlet end of the axial reactor.

The various components of apparatus 10 will be described in further detail hereafter

The torch section 12 includes a plasma torch that is used to thermally decompose an incoming gaseous stream within a resulting plasma as the gaseous stream is delivered through the inlet of the reactor chamber.

A plasma is a high temperature luminous gas which is at least partially (1 to 100%) ionized. A plasma is made up of gas atoms, gas ions, and electrons. In the bulk phase a plasma is electrically neutral. A thermal plasma can be created by passing a gas through an electric arc formed between two electrodes (anode and cathode). The electric arc will rapidly heat the gas by resistive and radiative heating to very high temperatures within microseconds of passing through the arc. The plasma is typically luminous at temperatures above 9000 K.

A plasma can be produced with any gas in this manner. This gives excellent control over chemical reactions in the plasma as the gas might be neutral (argon, helium,

neon), reductive (hydrogen, methane, ammonia, carbon monoxide) or oxidative (oxygen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide). Oxygen or oxygen/argon gas mixtures are used to produce metal oxide ceramics and composites. Other nitride, boride, and carbide ceramic materials require gases such as nitrogen, ammonia, hydrogen, methane, or carbon monoxide to achieve the correct chemical environment for synthesis of these materials.

The details of plasma generating torches are well known and need not be further detailed within this disclosure to make the present invention understandable to those skilled in this field.

An incoming stream of plasma gas is denoted by arrow 31. The plasma gas can also be a reactant or can be inert. A gaseous stream of one or more reactants (arrow 30) is normally injected separately into the plasma, which is directed toward the downstream outlet of the reactor chamber 16. The gaseous stream moving axially through the reactor chamber 16 includes the reactants injected into the plasma arc or within a carrier gas.

The injector section 14 includes injection ports 22, and a restricted diameter injection line 23 allows the reactants and plasma to mix before the reactant materials enter the reactor chamber 16. By allowing the reactants and plasma to mix prior to entering the reactor 16, there is less heat loss and the system efficiency improves.

Gases and liquids are the preferred forms of injected reactants. Solids may be injected, but usually vaporize too slowly for chemical reactions to occur in the rapidly flowing plasma gas before the gas cools. If solids are used as reactants, they will usually be heated to a gaseous or liquid state before injection into the plasma.

Typical residence times for materials within the free flowing plasma are on the order of milliseconds. To maximize mixing with the plasma gas, the reactants (liquid or gas) are injected under pressure (10 to 100 atmospheres) through a small orifice to achieve sufficient velocity to penetrate and mix with the plasma. It is preferable to use gaseous or vaporized reactants whenever practical, since this eliminates the need for a phase change within the plasma and improves the kinetics of the reactor. In addition, the injected stream of reactants is preferably is injected normal (90° angle) to the flow of the plasma gases. In some cases, however, positive or negative deviations from this 90° angle by as much as 30° may be optimum.

The high temperature of the plasma rapidly vaporizes the injected liquid materials and breaks apart gaseous molecular species to their atomic constituents. A variety of metals (titanium, vanadium, antimony, silicon, aluminum, uranium, tungsten), metal alloys (titanium/vanadium, titanium/aluminum, titanium/aluminum/vanadium), intermetallics (nickel aluminide, titanium aluminide), and ceramics (metal oxides, nitrides, borides, and carbides) can be synthesized by injecting metal halides (chlorides, bromides, iodides, and fluorides) in liquid or gaseous form into a plasma of the appropriate gas downstream from the anode arc attachment point and within the torch exit or along the length of the reactor chamber.

The enclosed axial reactor chamber 16 communicates with injector section 14 at an inlet end and communicates with nozzle 18 at an outlet end. The reactor chamber 16 according to on embodiment of the invention includes an insulative liner 34 on the interior surface thereof. The insulated reactor provides residence time for reactions to

1 take place while minimizing radial temperature gradients. A cooling water jacket (not  
2 shown) is typically disposed around the outside of reactor chamber 16.

3 The reactor chamber 16 is the location in which chemical reactions occur. The  
4 reactor chamber 16 begins downstream from the plasma arc inlet and terminates at the  
5 nozzle throat 26. The reactor chamber 16 includes a main reactor area in which product  
6 formation occurs, as well as a converging section 24, which is part of nozzle 18.

7 Temperature requirements within the reactor chamber and its dimensional  
8 geometry are specific to the temperature required to achieve an equilibrium state with an  
9 enriched quantity of each desired end product.

10 Since the reaction chamber is an area of intense heat and chemical activity it is  
11 necessary to construct the reactor chamber of materials that are compatible with the  
12 temperature and chemical activity to minimize chemical corrosion from the reactants, and  
13 to minimize melting degradation and ablation from the resulting intense plasma radiation.  
14 The reactor chamber is usually constructed of water cooled stainless steel, nickel,  
15 titanium, or other suitable materials. The reactor chamber can also be constructed of  
16 ceramic materials to withstand the vigorous chemical and thermal environment.

17 As discussed previously, the reactor chamber walls are lined with an insulator,  
18 such as carbon, to maintain a constant temperature gradient within the reactor chamber  
19 16. The purpose of the insulator is to provide a barrier to reduce process heat loss to the  
20 cooling water jacket on the outside of the reactor chamber. Various insulative materials  
21 can be utilized as long as the selected material does not react with the reactants in the  
22 reactor chamber and has a sufficiently low coefficient of expansion to prevent swelling

and bursting of the outer wall of the reactor chamber. Thus, preferred materials such as carbon are good insulators, are chemically inert to the process reactants, have a low expansion coefficient, and withstand high temperatures, such about 2000° to 3000° K. Other suitable materials include, for example, boron nitride, zirconia, silicon carbide, and the like. However, any insulating material that will reduce heat transfer from the reactor chamber 16 to the outside wall will work as long as the above criteria is met.

The reaction chamber walls are internally heated by a combination of radiation, convection and conduction. Cooling of the reaction chamber walls prevents unwanted melting and/or corrosion at their surfaces. The system used to control such cooling should maintain the walls at as high a temperature as can be permitted by the selected wall material, which must be inert to the reactants within the reactor chamber 16 at the expected wall temperatures. This is true also with regard to the nozzle walls, which are subjected to heat only by convection and conduction.

The dimensions of the reactor chamber 16 are chosen to minimize recirculation of the plasma and reactant gases and to maintain sufficient heat (enthalpy) going into the nozzle throat to prevent degradation (undesirable back or side reaction chemistry).

The length of the reactor chamber 16 must be determined experimentally by first using an elongated tube within which the user can locate the target reaction threshold temperature. The reactor chamber 16 can then be designed long enough so that reactants have sufficient residence time at the high reaction temperature to reach an equilibrium state and complete the formation of the desired end products. Such reaction temperatures can range from a minimum of about 1700° C. to about 4000° C.

1 The inside diameter of the reactor chamber 16 is determined by the fluid  
 2 properties of the plasma and moving gaseous stream. It must be sufficiently great to  
 3 permit necessary gaseous flow, but not so large that undesirable recirculating eddies or  
 4 stagnant zones are formed along the walls of the chamber. Such detrimental flow patterns  
 5 will cool the gases prematurely and precipitate unwanted products, such as subchlorides  
 6 or carbon. As a general rule, the inside diameter of the reactor chamber 16 should be in  
 7 the range of about 100 to about 150% of the plasma diameter at the inlet end of the  
 8 reactor chamber 16.

9 The convergent-diverging nozzle 18 is coaxially positioned downstream  
 10 from reactor chamber 16. The converging-diverging nozzle produces a rapid drop in  
 11 kinetic temperature in a flowing gas stream. This effectively "freezes" or stops all  
 12 chemical reactions. It permits efficient collection of desired end products as the gases are  
 13 rapidly cooled without achieving an equilibrium condition. Resulting end products which  
 14 have been produced in the plasma at high temperature but are thermodynamically  
 15 unstable or unavailable at lower temperatures can then be collected due to resulting phase  
 16 changes (gas to solid) or stabilization by cooling to a lower equilibrium state (gas to gas).

17  
 18 The converging or upstream section of nozzle 18 restricts gas passage and  
 19 controls the residence time of the hot gaseous stream within the reactor chamber 16,  
 20 allowing its contents to reach thermodynamic equilibrium. The contraction that occurs in  
 21 the cross sectional size of the gaseous stream as it passes through the converging portions  
 22 of nozzle 18 change the motion of the gas molecules from random directions, including





effectively lowers the kinetic temperature of the gases and almost instantaneously limits further chemical reactions. The velocities achieved in the nozzle throat and in the downstream diverging section of the nozzle are controlled by the pressure differential between the reactor chamber 16 and the section downstream of the diverging section 28 of nozzle 18. Negative pressure can be applied downstream or positive pressure applied upstream for this purpose.

The purpose of the diverging section 28 of nozzle 18 is to smoothly accelerate and expand gases exiting the nozzle from sonic to supersonic velocities, which further lowers the kinetic temperature of the gases.

The term "smooth acceleration" in practice requires use of a small diverging angle of less than 35 degrees to expand the gases without suffering deleterious effects of separation from the converging wall and inducing turbulence. Separation of the expanding gases from the diverging wall causes recirculation of some portion of the gases between the wall and the gas jet exiting the nozzle throat. This recirculation in turn results in local reheating of the expanding gases and undesirable degradation reactions, producing lower yields of desired end products.

The super fast quench phenomenon observed in the embodiments of the invention that include a converging-diverging nozzle in the apparatus is achieved by rapidly converting thermal energy in the gases to kinetic energy via a modified adiabatic and isentropic expansion through the converging-diverging nozzle. A thorough discussion of the physics of the nozzle is provided in *Detering*.

An additional reactant, such as hydrogen at ambient temperatures, can be tangentially injected into the diverging section of nozzle 18 to complete the reactions or prevent back reactions as the gases are cooled.

Cooling section 20 is positioned coaxially downstream from nozzle 18 and serves to further cool the gaseous stream and quench the reactions. The walls of reactor chamber 16, nozzle 18, and coaxial cooling section 20 are all physically cooled by cooling streams.

Reaction end products are collectable within a cyclone separator (not shown). A downstream liquid trap, such as a liquid nitrogen trap, can be used to condense and collect reaction products such as hydrogen chloride and ultra-fine powders within the gaseous stream prior to the gaseous stream entering a vacuum pump.

Referring now to Figure 2, a further embodiment of the invention comprises an apparatus 50, which includes generally a torch/injection section 52, an injector section 54, an insulated reactor chamber 56, and a cooling section 58. It is understood that the majority of the components are as described hereinabove for apparatus 10.

It has been discovered that the various improvements described hereinabove make nozzle 18 unnecessary to obtain positive results in this embodiment of the invention. Accordingly, the nozzle assembly is removed and replaced with a straight cooling pipe section of the same inside diameter as the downstream piping. Although not illustrated, the present invention also anticipates that the nozzle assembly can be replaced by a converging section similar to the converging section 24 of converging-diverging

nozzle 18 when solid material plugging is to be avoided. If this is not a problem, then the abrupt transition from reactor chamber 56 to cooling section 58 is suitable.

Yet another feature of this embodiment of the invention is that an anode injector 64 is included as part of torch/injection section 52. By locating anode injector 64 closer to the plasma arc, there is reduced heat loss and therefore greater mixing efficiency. However, this embodiment also illustrates that a downstream injector port(s) 60 can also be included, providing a separate reactant injection port in communication with injection line 62.

The reactor chamber 56 according to this embodiment of the invention includes an insulative liner 66 on the interior surface thereof.

Referring now to Figure 3, another embodiment of the invention comprises an apparatus 100, which includes generally a torch/injection section 102, an insulated reactor chamber 104, and a cooling section 106. In particular, this embodiment eliminates the injector section used in the embodiments described hereinabove. It is understood that the majority of the components are as described hereinabove for apparatus 10.

The apparatus 100 includes an anode injector 110, but no downstream injector. As a result, the anode injector 110 is close to the arc, has space to provide a thorough mixing prior to entering the reaction chamber, and is closer to the reaction chamber to avoid excess cooling. However, it can be seen that torch section 102 still includes a reduced diameter injection line 112 to create turbulent flow and to ensure that the reactants thoroughly mix with the plasma before entering the reactor chamber 104.

1 It is by including insulating layer 108 on reactor chamber 104, including injection  
2 line 112, and by carefully placing anode injector 110 closer to the arc that apparatus 100  
3 is able to achieve the desired reactions at a high efficiency, even without the converging-  
4 diverging nozzle.

5 Although the present disclosure focuses on the production of acetylene from  
6 methane, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that other materials can also be  
7 produced by the methods and apparatus of the invention. These include, by way of  
8 example only, titanium, vanadium, aluminum, and titanium/vanadium alloys.

9 The following examples are given to illustrate the present invention, and are not  
10 intended to limit the scope of the invention.

### 11 12 EXAMPLES

13 All instrumentation used in the following Examples except for the gas  
14 chromatograph (GC) was directly interfaced to a data acquisition system for continuous  
15 recording of system parameters during a test run. Once the specified process power  
16 levels, pressure, and gas flow rates were established, the gas stream was continuously  
17 sampled by the gas chromatograph for a period of 7 minutes before the chromatograph  
18 gas sample was acquired to ensure that a representative sample was obtained. This  
19 sampling period represents approximately three times the time required to completely  
20 purge the sample line. The pressure downstream of the quench nozzle was controlled by  
21 a mechanical vacuum pump and flow control valve. Depending on the test conditions,  
22 the test pressure can be independently adjusted between atmospheric pressure and

1 approximately 100 torr. The experiment reached steady state in a period of 1 minute or  
 2 less. Steady state operation was verified by a continuously reading residual gas analyzer  
 3 (RGA). All cooling water flow rates and inlet and outlet temperatures were monitored  
 4 and recorded allowing a complete system energy balance to be calculated.

5 The plasma gases used were a mixture of Ar and H<sub>2</sub>; methane or natural gas was  
 6 injected downstream in a confined channel transverse jet injector. The DC plasma torch  
 7 that was used will not operate for extended periods of time on pure hydrogen without  
 8 severe anode erosion, hence all test data was acquired using at least some Ar plasma gas.  
 9 The use of Ar, which is inert and does not participate chemically in the process, has the  
 10 advantage that it provides a built-in reference for validating the overall process mass  
 11 balance. The processing of methane directly in the discharge is precluded by the severe  
 12 erosion of the tungsten cathode via the formation of volatile tungsten carbides. The two  
 13 most critical aspects of the experiment are the chemical analysis of the product stream  
 14 and the overall mass balance.

15 Two example test series were conducted, with the major differences between the  
 16 two being the presence or absence of the converging-diverging quench nozzle. When the  
 17 quench nozzle was installed, a downstream valve was wide open and the downstream  
 18 pressure was determined by the capacity of a vacuum pump. The downstream pressure in  
 19 this mode generally ran between 100 and 200 torr. In this configuration, the flow was  
 20 choked in the converging-diverging nozzle throat and the reactor pressure was determined  
 21 by the nozzle throat diameter, the reactor temperature, and the mass flow rate of the  
 22 plasma and reactant gases. Under these conditions the reactor pressure generally ran

between 600 and 800 torr for an upstream to downstream pressure ratio between 4 and 6. The corresponding Mach number range was 1.6 to 1.8. Assuming a reactor temperature of 2000 °C, the aerodynamic quench rapidly lowers the temperature to 1100-1300 °C. The measured thermal efficiency of the plasma torch was between 80 and 90% depending on the gas mixture and flow rates. The power to the plasma torch was adjusted to give a constant 60kW deposited in the plasma gas. Since the torch voltage is essentially determined by the argon to hydrogen ratio, the power was adjusted by adjusting the current to obtain the desired 60 kW into the plasma. The injector ring, reactor section, and nozzle assembly energy balances indicated that approximately 14.6 kW were lost in these components to the cooling water. The partitioning of this energy loss is illustrated in Table 1 below:

Table 1

Location:	Torch	Injector	Reactor	Nozzle
Energy loss:	+60 kW into plasma	-7.3 kW to cooling water	-3.9 kW to cooling water	-3.4 kW to cooling water

The result is that approximately 45 kW is available for conversion of natural gas to acetylene. By careful system redesign, which includes placement of the injector function inside the torch body and optimization (shortening) of reactor length, these losses can probably be reduced by 70% or more. Figure 4 contains a plot of the theoretical maximum amount of methane that can be converted to acetylene in the current configuration under nominal operating conditions. Nominal operating conditions are

defined as 160 standard liters per minute (slm) Ar, 100 slm H<sub>2</sub> for the plasma torch gas and 60 kW deposited in the plasma. The target reactor temperature is 2000 °C. Under the nominal conditions the maximum theoretical amount of methane that can be converted to acetylene is approximately 145 slm.

The conversion efficiency, for pure methane injection, is defined as:

$$CE = 1 - \frac{[CH_4] \dot{Q}_{STP}}{\dot{Q}_{CH_4}} = 1 - y_{CH_4}$$

where [CH<sub>4</sub>] is the molar fraction of methane in the product stream obtained from the GC and  $\dot{Q}_{CH_4}$  is the methane injection rate.

Acetylene yield (for pure methane injection) is defined as:

$$y_{C_2H_2} = \frac{2[C_2H_2] \dot{Q}_{STP}}{\dot{Q}_{CH_4}}$$

where [C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>] is the molar fraction of acetylene in the product stream measured by the GC and the actual measured gas flow converted to standard conditions (1 atmosphere pressure and 0 °C) is  $\dot{Q}_{STP}$

### Example 1

In this example the results of experiments with a converging-diverging nozzle are presented. Conversion efficiency as a function of the rate of methane injection is plotted in the graph of Figure 5. In developing this data set the power deposited in the plasma was maintained constant at 60 kW and the plasma gas flow rates were constant at 160 slm Ar and 100 slm H<sub>2</sub>. The measured reactor pressure was relatively constant, varying between approximately 670 and 730 torr, depending on the rate of methane injection. Methane conversion was essentially complete, that is a conversion efficiency of 100% , at methane feed rates up to about 100 slm. At feed rates above 100 slm the conversion efficiency started to decline and dipped below approximately 95% at a feed rate of around 120 slm. The estimated bulk gas temperature in the reactor and corresponding residence time in the reactor is plotted in the graph of Figure 6. This estimate was obtained from the measured system energy balance, the plasma gas and methane flow rates, and the assumption of 100% acetylene yield. The target temperature of approximately 2000 °C was reached at a methane flow rate of approximately 145 slm. For methane injection rates less than 145 slm the estimated reactor temperature was greater than 2000 °C. If the reactor temperature is uniform, which it is not, and if the process follows the equilibrium diagram of the graph of Figure 4, it is expected that for temperatures much below 2000 °C (methane feed rates greater than about 145 slm) that the conversion efficiency will start to decline.

An alternative representation of this is shown in the graph of Figure 4 where the maximum amount of methane that it is theoretically possible to process is plotted as a



function of energy (power) available. For the nominal operating condition of 60 kW into the plasma gas minus the 15 or so kW that is lost in the injector, reactor and nozzle assembly for a net of about 45 kW, the maximum amount of methane that can be processed is approximately 145 slm. For injection rates in excess of 145 slm there was not enough energy available to dissociate and convert the injected methane to acetylene with 100 % efficiency and result in a product stream temperature of 2000 °C. The presence of the inevitable cold boundary layers in the injection ring and reactor also result in some gas that can pass through the reactor without being dissociated. At the lower flow rates and corresponding higher temperatures the methane was almost completely converted and the conversion efficiency approaches 100%. The conversion efficiency was observed to decline at 120 slm, somewhat below the anticipated value of 145 slm. This may be due to the presence of cold boundary layer flow, or due to inadequate residence time for dissociation. Inspection of the residence time plot in Figure 6, shows that the residence time in the reactor is relatively constant and independent of methane injection rate. The increase in mass flow rate and anticipated velocity increase with increased methane injection is offset by the cooling of the gas mixture that also occurs with increased methane injection rate.

The possible influence of residence time on conversion efficiency was evaluated by replacing the converging-diverging nozzle with a straight, constant diameter section that matched the inside diameter of the downstream piping. By removing the converging-diverging nozzle the reactor pressure could be controlled independently of the flow rates. When the converging-diverging nozzle is installed the flow is choked

(reaches sonic velocity) in the nozzle throat. Under this condition the reactor pressure is independent of the downstream pressure and is determined solely by the mass flow rate and temperature. With the converging-diverging nozzle removed the reactor pressure is controlled by the position of a downstream valve. Decreasing the reactor pressure increases the velocity in the reactor and decreases residence time. For this series of tests the pressure was varied from 300 to 700 torr, decreasing the residence time in the reactor by a factor of 2.3, or from about 3.25 ms to 1.4 ms. A slight decrease in conversion efficiency of about 2 percentage points, was observed at the lower pressure, as shown in the graph of Figure 7. While this suggests a slight dependence on residence time it is not enough to account for the decrease in conversion efficiency observed in the graph of Figure 5. This suggests that the presence of cold boundary layers is in fact mostly responsible for the observed decrease. The residence time in the reactor is sufficient for dissociation of the methane, and as we will show next, also sufficient for the formation of acetylene.

Acetylene yield as a function of methane injection rate appears in the graph of Figure 8. The trends observed in the yield data are similar to those observed in the plot of conversion efficiency, shown in Figure 5. The acetylene yield was approximately 95% for methane injection rates less than about 100 slm. Further increases in methane injection rate resulted in a decrease of yield. At an injection rate of 145 slm, the theoretical maximum feed rate that can be processed with conversion efficiency and yield approaching 100%, the measured yield dropped to 75%. In Figure 9, the measured yield

1 has been normalized to account for the measured decrease in conversion efficiency. This  
2 normalization is simply:

$$yield_{normalized} = \frac{yield}{conversion efficiency}$$

3 The normalized yield is a measure of selectivity for conversion to acetylene. As  
4 illustrated in the graph of Figure 9, this normalization accounts for a significant portion of  
5 the observed decrease in acetylene yield. Improving the conversion efficiency will flatten  
6 the yield curve significantly. This suggests that minimization of the cold boundary layers  
7 through improved thermal design can result in an improvement in overall system  
8 performance and that the intrinsic acetylene yield is high over a wide range at reactant  
9 flow rates (reactor temperature).

10 The decrease in the measured yield that is not accounted for by the decrease in  
11 conversion efficiency is due to the formation of other carbon containing species. These  
12 include other hydrocarbons and soot. Figures 10 and 11 contain plots of the carbon basis  
13 yield of the other hydrocarbon species observed as a function of methane injection rate.  
14 Yield is given as a percentage of carbon introduced into the system as methane. The  
15 figures are identical except for scale and the absence of methane in Figure 11. In Figure  
16 10 the decrease in conversion efficiency with increased methane injection rate is evident  
17 in the increase in methane yield. Figure 11 is the same data plotted on an expanded scale.  
18 The observed decrease in the normalized yield is due to an increase in the yield of other  
19 carbon containing species. The species represented on the plot are the only ones observed  
20 by the gas chromatograph. Interestingly enough, after an initial increase in the yield of

olefins plus the  $C_6$  and heavier ( $C_5=C_6+$ ) hydrocarbons the relative amount decreases slightly at higher methane injection rates. These relatively heavy hydrocarbons were subsequently identified as almost entirely benzene by GCMS analysis. The other carbon containing species observed, ethylene, propadiene, and t-2-butene steadily increase as the rate of methane injection increases. The dependence of conversion, yield, and the yield of other hydrocarbon species on the relative amounts of Ar and  $H_2$  ratio was examined by decreasing the Ar to  $H_2$  ratio by a factor of two. There was no noticeable effect on the conversion efficiency or on the composition of the product stream. Because the product stream is always characterized by an abundance of  $H_2$  the Ar present appears to have little or no effect on the kinetics and does not shift the equilibrium of the product stream.

In addition to the tests utilizing methane as the reactant gas, a limited number of runs were performed using pipeline natural gas. The observed conversion efficiencies, acetylene yields and the yields of the other hydrocarbons were identical to the prior results using pure methane as the feedstock. The analyses of the natural gas and product streams appear in Table 2.

The results are virtually identical to the pure methane runs with the exception of the  $N_2$ , which is essentially inert, and the conversion of the  $CO_2$  to CO. In a carbon rich system the CO- $CO_2$  equilibrium tends toward CO at relatively modest temperatures on the order of  $1000^\circ C$ .

Table 2. Gas Chromatograph analysis of natural gas reactant and product stream in mole percent, 60 kW plasma power, 140 slm Ar, 100 slm H<sub>2</sub>, and 98.5 slm natural gas

	H <sub>2</sub>	C5=C <sub>6</sub> +	Propane C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	Acetylene C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	i-Butane C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	n-Butane C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	CO	Ethylene C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ethane C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	Ar	N <sub>2</sub>	Methane CH <sub>4</sub>	CO	Solid Carbon Yield
Natural Gas	0	0.04	0.74	0	0.1	0.11	0.5	0	3.79	0	1.18	93.47	0	-
Product Stream	53.5	0.34	0	11.8	0	0	0	0.182	0	33	0.44	0.21	0.2	3.2%

## Example 2

In this example the results of experiments without the use of a converging-diverging nozzle are presented. The conversion efficiency (~100% vs. 70%) yield, and selectivity (95% vs. 51%) of the present process demonstrated appear to be somewhat superior to the original Huels process. This may be due to better mixing, temperature uniformity, or more rapid quenching. The Huels product stream analysis as well as the laboratory scale results are summarized in Table 2.

To assess the effect of rapid aerodynamic quenching a series of tests, identical to those reported in Example 1 were conducted, but without the converging-diverging nozzle. In these tests the system pressure was maintained at between 700 and 900 torr, approximately the same as the reactor pressure in the test series of Example 1. Conversion efficiency, yield, and normalized yield results are summarized in the graphs of Figures 12-14. The yield of other hydrocarbon species is summarized in the graph of Figure 15.

The yield and conversion efficiency results without the converging-diverging nozzle and supersonic aerodynamic quench are virtually identical to the earlier results developed with the nozzle present. There appears to be some minor improvement in yield at the higher methane injection rates when the nozzle is present, but the deviations are within the uncertainty estimate and the error bars significantly overlap. Examination of yields of other hydrocarbons, as shown in as shown in Figure 15, indicate a statistically significant difference in the yields of ethylene between the result with the nozzle removed and with the nozzle in place (Figure 11). Apparently the high quench rates afforded by

1 the nozzle have the effect of suppressing the formation of ethylene, cutting the yield of  
2 ethylene by approximately 30%. The exact mechanism of ethylene formation is as yet  
3 undefined; however, it is likely that the kinetics and population of the  $\text{CH}_2$  radical play an  
4 important role.

5 With the converging-diverging nozzle removed, the reactor pressure can be  
6 independently controlled with a valve. This configuration allows investigation of the  
7 effect of pressure on yield. It was demonstrated earlier, as shown in Figure 7, that  
8 pressure and resident time has only a small effect on conversion efficiency. Measured  
9 acetylene yield is plotted as a function of reactor pressure in the graph of Figure 16. The  
10 power is constant at 60 kW into the gas and the flow rates are maintained at 160 slm Ar,  
11 100 slm  $\text{H}_2$ , and 98.5 slm  $\text{CH}_4$ . There appears to be a slight decrease in acetylene yield  
12 with increasing pressure although the effect is not large. The decrease in yield is  
13 accompanied by a slight decrease in benzene yield and an increase in the ethylene yield,  
14 as shown in Figure 17. In general, pressure changes in the range of 300-700 torr do not  
15 have a large effect.

Table 2. Product stream analysis in mole percent.

		Acetylene	Allene	Diacetylene	Ethylene	Methane	Ethane	Propane	i-Butane	n-Butane	Benzene	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	N <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Yield
		C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>				
Fuels process	feedstock	—	—	—	—	92.3	1.4	0.5	—	0.4	—	—	—	5.4	—
	product	14.5	—	0.6	0.9	16.3	0.04	0.03	0.01	—	0.3	63.4	0.6	2.7	2.7%
Current process W/Quench	feedstock	—	—	—	—	93.47	3.79	0.74	0.10	0.11	0.04	—	—	1.18	—
	product*	11.8	—	—	—	0.21	—	—	—	—	0.34	53.47	0.25	0.44	3.2%

\*Also includes 33.3 mole percent argon.



Example 3

Comprehensive data for a test run are provided in Tables 3-5 below. The test run was conducted without a converging-diverging nozzle. Table 3 presents a summary of the flow rates, power, measured torch thermal efficiency, nozzle geometry, and reactor and exit pressures.

Table 3

Test SEPT13A-4P	
Ar (slm)	160.4
H2 (slm)	100.1
CH4 (slm)	75.9
Net Power (kW)	60.2
Efficiency %	85
Geometry	straight
Pressure exit (torr)	409
Pressure reactor (torr)	550

Table 4 presents yield, volume rate, specific energy requirements (SER) and relative yield for the acetylene and hydrogen in the test. The column and row headings are generally sufficient to describe the data contained therein. Specifically, the column labeled Yield %: Qt meas. provides the yield of acetylene and hydrogen from methane feedstock and measured conversion efficiency. The acetylene yield is the percent of the

carbon in the methane feedstock that ends up in acetylene and the hydrogen yield is the percent of the hydrogen in the methane feedstock that ends up as elemental hydrogen. Qt denotes measurements based on the downstream turbine flow meter. The column labeled Yield %: Qt At std. provides the yield of acetylene and hydrogen from methane feedstock and measured conversion efficiency. Q Ar std denotes measurements based on input flow rate of argon and gas chromatography data.

The column labeled volume rate (slm) provides the volumetric flow rate of acetylene and hydrogen generated from methane feedstock. Qt denotes measurements based on the downstream turbine flow meter. Q Ar std denotes measurements based on input flow rate of argon and gas chromatography data.

The next four columns provide the specific energy requirements. Qt denotes measurements based on the downstream turbine flow meter. Q Ar std denotes measurements based on input flow rate of argon and gas chromatography data.

The column labeled R yield provides the relative yield. Yield numbers have been normalized for conversion efficiency.

Table 4

	Yield %		Volume Rate (slm)		SER Qt meas	SER Q Ar std	SER Qt meas	SER Q Ar std	R yield
	Qt meas	Q Ar std	Qt meas	Q Ar std	kW- hr/kg	kW- hr/kg	kW-hr/ Mscf	kW- hr/Mscf	
									Ar std
C2H2	98.2	96.14	37.27	36.48	23.19	23.69	765.60	782.14	0.97
									Qt

H2 from CH4	47.90	45.49	72.70	69.1	154.56	162.74	392.49	413.26	0.99
Conv. eff %	99.3	99.30							

Table 5 presents flow rate and mass balance data for the various species in this test run. The column and row headings are generally sufficient to describe the data contained therein to one skilled in the art. Specifically, the column labeled [conc %] provides the concentration in mole percent measured by gas chromatography. Table 5 is presented in two parts because of its size.

Table 5, Part A

Species	[conc %]	Qin slm	mdot in	Qt meas	mt meas	mdot H in	mdot H out
H2 (Hydrogen)	45.825	100.1	8.94	377.1	15.43	8.94	15.43
C5=/C6+(C6H6)	0.217	0.0	0.00	377.1	2.85	0.00	0.22
C3H8 (Propane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C2H2 (Acetylene)	9.884	0.0	0.00	377.1	43.26	0.00	3.33
C3H6 (Propylene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H10 (i-Butane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C3H4 (Propadiene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H10 (n-Butane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (1-butene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (i-Butane/ i-Butylene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (t-2-Butene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.08	0.00	0.01
C4H8 (c-2-Butene)	0.008	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00

1	C4H6 (1,3-Butadiene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
2								
3	C5H12 (i-Pentane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	C5H12 (n-Pentane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
5								
6	CO2 (Carbon dioxide)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
7								
8	C2H4 (Ethylene)	0.459	0.0	0.00	377.1	2.16	0.00	0.31
9	C2H6 (Ethane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Ar (Argon)	43.454	160.4	286.43	377.1	292.62	0.00	0.00
11	N2 (Nitrogen)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	CH4 (Methane)	0.145	75.9	54.21	377.1	0.39	13.55	0.10
13	CO (Carbon monoxide)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
14								
15								
16	TOTAL g/min			349.58		356.79	22.49	19.39
17	% difference					-2.06		13.77
18	% Soot produced based on carbon balance							

Table 5, Part B

21	Species	mdot C in	mdot C out	yield Qt	Q Ar std	mt Ar std	mdot H Ar	mdot C Ar std	yield Ar std
22	H2 (Hydrogen)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	15.10	15.10	0.00	0.0
23	C5=C6+(C6H6)	0.00	2.63	6.5	369.13	2.79	0.21	2.58	6.34
24	C3H8 (Propane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	C2H2 (Acetylene)	0.00	39.93	98.2	369.13	42.35	3.26	39.09	96.14
26	C3H6 (Propylene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	C4H10 (i-Butane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	C3H4 (Propadiene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	C4H10 (n-Butane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	C4H8 (l-butene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	C4H8 (i-Butane/	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
32	i-Butylene)								

1	C4H8 (t-2-Butene)	0.00	0.07	0.2	369.13	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.16
2	C4H8 (c-2-Butene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	C4H6 (1,3-Butadiene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	C5H12 (i-Pentane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	C5H12 (n-Pentane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	CO2 (Carbon dioxide)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	C2H4 (Ethylene)	0.00	1.85	4.6	369.13	2.12	0.30	1.82	4.47
8	C2H6 (Ethane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Ar (Argon)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	286.43	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	N2 (Nitrogen)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	CH4 (Methane)	40.66	0.29	0.7	369.13	0.38	0.10	0.29	0.70
12	CO (Carbon monoxide)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13									
14									
15	TOTAL g/min	40.66	44.78	110.1		349.25	18.98	43.84	107.8
16	% difference		-10.14			0.09	15.59	-7.81	
17	% Soot produced based on carbon balance.		-10.14					-7.81	
18									
19									
20									

21 The measured conversion efficiency and acetylene yield in the laboratory reactor system  
 22 described here in Examples 1 and 2 are in general somewhat better than reported in the  
 23 literature; conversion efficiencies (CE) approach 100% and yields in the 90-95% range  
 24 with 2-4% soot produced have been demonstrated. This appears to be somewhat of an  
 25 improvement over the Huels process (CE = 70.5% and  $y_{C_2H_2} = 51.4\%$ , 2.7% carbon soot)  
 26 and the DuPont process (CE -not reported,  $y_{C_2H_2} = 70\%$  ). The process reported here also  
 27 appears to have somewhat better specificity for acetylene. The improvement in  
 28 conversion efficiency, yield and specificity are due primarily to improved injector design  
 29 and mixing (a better "stirred" reactor) and minimization of temperature gradients and cold  
 30 boundary layers. The rate of cooling by wall heat transfer appears to be sufficient to

THE HUEL PROCESS

1 quench the product stream and prevent further decomposition of acetylene into soot or  
2 further reaction leading to heavier hydrocarbon products. Significantly increasing the  
3 quench rate by rapidly expanding the product stream through a converging-diverging  
4 nozzle leads to only marginal improvement in the composition of the product stream,  
5 primarily the reduction of the yield of ethylene.

6 The specific amount of energy consumed (kW-hr) per amount (kg) of acetylene  
7 produced ultimately determines the economics of the process. The Huels process  
8 reportedly consumed 12.1 kW-hr/kg-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> produced. The DuPont process specific energy  
9 consumption was estimated, though not measured, to be 8.8 kW -hr/kg-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> produced.  
10 This later value compares favorably with the theoretical minimum value of approximately  
11 7.9 kW -hr/kg-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> for a product stream at 2000 °C, 100% conversion efficiency and  
12 yield and no electrical or thermal losses. The measured specific energy consumption for  
13 the laboratory scale process examined in this study is plotted in the graph of Figure 18.  
14 The minimum measured specific energy consumption is approximately 16  
15 kW-hr/kg-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> produced. It is estimated that this could be improved to a value of around  
16 13 kW-hr/kg-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> by improved thermal design. This includes moving the injection into  
17 the torch body thus avoiding the thermal losses in the injector ring and reducing the  
18 thermal losses in the reactor section. Process heat recovery might further reduce the  
19 specific energy consumption by another 20% or so to around the 10 kW -hr/kg-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>  
20 range. These numbers compare favorably with the specific energy consumption reported  
21 for the Huels and DuPont processes while demonstrating improved conversion efficiency  
22 and yield.

